Equivalence of the Sutherland Model to Free Particles on a Circle

N. Gurappa* and Prasanta K. Panigrahi†

School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad,

Andhra Pradesh, 500 046 INDIA.

arXiv:hep-th/9908127v2 24 Aug 1999

Abstract

A method is developed to construct the solutions of one and many variable, linear differential equations of arbitrary order. Using this, the N-particle Sutherland model, with pair-wise inverse sine-square interactions among the particles, is shown to be equivalent to free particles on a circle. Applicability of our method to many other few and many-body problems is also illustrated.

PACS: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ge

Typeset using REVTEX

*panisprs@uohyd.ernet.in

†panisp@uohyd.ernet.in

The Calogero-Sutherland model (CSM) [1,2] and its generalizations [3,4] are extremely well-studied quantum mechanical systems, having relevance to diverse branches of physics [5], such as the universal conductance fluctuations in mesoscopic systems [6], quantum Hall effect [7], wave propagation in stratified fields [8], random matrix theory [2,5,9,10], fractional statistics [11], gravity [12] and gauge theories [13]. In particular, the Sutherland model [3], describing N-identical particles having pair-wise inverse distance square interaction on a circle has received wider attention in the context of questions related to statistics [14]. The spectrum of this exactly solvable model can be interpreted as arising from a set of free quasi-particles satisfying the generalized exclusion principle [15].

Some time ago, the present authors have shown that, the CSM is equivalent to a set of free harmonic oscillators [16]. This mapping throws considerable light into the algebraic structure of this correlated system [17,18]. Later, the same model, without the harmonic confinement, was made unitarily equivalent to free particle [19]. However, the connection between the Sutherland model and free particles have so far remained an open problem. In this paper, we establish the equivalence of the Sutherland model to free particles on a circle, for arbitrary number of particles.

This paper is organized as follows. (i) A general method is developed to solve any linear differential equation of arbitrary order, (ii) a few examples are discussed to show how the present technique yields the known, as well as, new results, (iii) the usefulness of this technique for solving the Schrödinger equation with complicated potentials is pointed out, (iv) the mapping between the A_{N-1} Calogero model and free harmonic oscillators is rederived using the present method, and, finally, (v) we show, the equivalence of the Sutherland model with free particles on a circle.

Consider the following differential equation,

$$\left(F(D) + P(x, d/dx)\right) y(x) = 0 \quad , \tag{1}$$

where, $D \equiv x\frac{d}{dx}$, $F(D) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n=\infty} a_n D^n$, is a diagonal operator in the space of monomials spanned by x^n and a_n's are some parameters. $P(x, d/dx)$ can be an arbitrary polynomial

function of x and $\frac{d}{dx}$. We prove the following ansatz,

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &= C_\lambda \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{F(D)} P(x, d/dx) \right]^m \right\} x^\lambda \\ &\equiv C_\lambda \hat{G}_\lambda x^\lambda \qquad , \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

is a solution of the above equation, provided, $F(D)x^\lambda = 0$ and the coefficient of x^λ in $y(x) - C_\lambda x^\lambda$ is zero (no summation over λ); here, C_λ is a constant. The case, when the equation $F(D)x^\lambda = 0$ does not have distinct roots is not considered here and will be treated separately elsewhere.

Substituting Eq. (2), modulo C_λ, in Eq. (1),

$$\begin{aligned} &(F(D) + P(x, d/dx)) \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{F(D)} P(x, d/dx) \right]^m \right\} x^\lambda = \\ &\quad = F(D) \left[1 + \frac{1}{F(D)} P(x, d/dx) \right] \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{F(D)} P(x, d/dx) \right]^m \right\} x^\lambda \\ &\quad = F(D) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{F(D)} P(x, d/dx) \right]^m x^\lambda \\ &\qquad\qquad + F(D) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{F(D)} P(x, d/dx) \right]^{m+1} x^\lambda \\ &\quad = F(D)x^\lambda - F(D) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{F(D)} P(x, d/dx) \right]^{m+1} x^\lambda \\ &\qquad\qquad + F(D) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{F(D)} P(x, d/dx) \right]^{m+1} x^\lambda \\ &= 0 \qquad . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Eq. (2), which connects the solution of a given differential equation to the monomials, can also be generalized to many-variables. In order to show that, this rather straightforward procedure indeed yields non-trivial results, we explicitly work out a few examples below and then proceed to prove the equivalence of the Sutherland model to free particles on a circle.

Consider the Hermite differential equation, which arises in the context of quantum harmonic oscillator,

$$\left(D - n - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) H_n(x) = 0 \qquad . \tag{4}$$

Here, $F(D) = D - n$ and $F(D)x^\lambda = 0$ yields $\lambda = n$. Hence,

$$H_n(x) = C_n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{D-n}(-1/2)(d^2/dx^2)\right]^m x^n \qquad . \tag{5}$$

Using, $[D\ ,\ (d^2/dx^2)] = -2(d^2/dx^2)$, it is easy to see that,

$$\left[\frac{1}{(D-n)}(-1/2)(d^2/dx^2)\right]^m x^n = (-1/2)^m (d^2/dx^2)^m \prod_{l=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(-2l)} x^n \qquad ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(x) &= C_n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1/4)^m \frac{1}{m!} (d^2/dx^2)^m x^n \\ &= C_n e^{-\frac{1}{4}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}} x^n \qquad ; \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

this is a well-known result. Similar expression also holds for the Lagurre polynomials which matches with the one found in [20]. In order to make an important remark, we list the solutions of some frequently encountered differential equations in various branches of physics [21].

Legendre polynomials

$$P_n(x) = C_n e^{-\{1/(2[D+n+1])\}(d^2/dx^2)}\ x^n \qquad .$$

Associated Legendre polynomials

$$P_n^m(x) = C_n (1-x^2)^{m/2} e^{-\{1/(2[D+n+m+1])\}(d^2/dx^2)}\ x^{n-m} \qquad .$$

Bessel functions

$$J_{\pm\nu}(x) = C_{\pm\nu} e^{-\{1/(2[D\pm\nu])\}x^2}\ x^{\pm\nu} \qquad .$$

Generalized Bessel functions

$$u_{\pm}(x) = C_{\pm} e^{-\{\beta\gamma^2/(2[D+\alpha\pm\beta\nu])\}x^{2\beta}}\ x^{\beta\nu-\alpha\pm\beta\nu} \qquad .$$

Gegenbauer polynomials

$$C_n^\lambda(x) = C_n e^{-\{1/(2[D+n+2\lambda])\}(d^2/dx^2)}\ x^n \qquad .$$

Hypergeometric functions

$$y_{\pm}(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;x) = C_{\pm}e^{-\{(1/(D+\lambda_{\pm})\}\hat{A}}\ x^{-\lambda_{\mp}} \qquad ,$$

where, $\lambda_{\pm}$ is either α or β and $\hat{A} \equiv x\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+\gamma\frac{d}{dx}$. All the above series solutions have descending powers of x. In order to get the series in the ascending powers, one has to replace x by $\frac{1}{x}$ in the original differential equation and generate the solutions via Eq. (2). However, the number of solutions will remain the same. One can also generate the series solutions by multiplying the original differential equations with x^2, and then, rewriting $x^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} = D(D-1) = F(D)$.

Meijer's G-Function

$$G_{pq}^{mn}\left(x\ |_{b_s}^{a_r}\right) = \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}(D-b_j)}\left((-1)^{p-m-1}x\prod_{j=1}^{p}(D-a_j+1)\right)\right]^k\right\}\ x^{b_s} \qquad .$$

Neumann's polynomials

$$O_n(x) = \left\{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r\left[\frac{1}{[(D+1)^2-n^2]}x^2\right]^r\left(\frac{1}{[(D+1)^2-n^2]}\right)\right\}\ (x\cos^2(n\pi/2) + n\sin^2(n\pi/2))\ ;$$

the cases of Struve, Lomel, Anger and Weber functions are identical to the above one. Jacobi, Schläfli, Whittaker, Chebyshev and some other polynomials were not given here since the list is rather lengthy. Now, the remark follows: $\hat{G}_{\lambda}$ becomes independent of the roots of the equation $F(D)x^{\lambda} = 0$, only when $F(D)$ is linear in D.

The solution for the following equation with periodic potential,

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + a\cos(x)y = 0 \quad , \tag{7}$$

can be found, after multiplying Eq. (7) by x^2 and rewriting $x^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ as $(D-1)D$, to be

$$y(x) = \sum_{m,\{n_i\}=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-a)^m}{m!}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{(-1)^{n_i}}{(2n_i)!}\right\}\left\{\prod_{r=1}^{m}\frac{(2[m+\lambda/2-r+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1-r}n_i])!}{(2[m+\lambda/2+1-r+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1-r}n_i])!}\right\} \times x^{2(m+\sum_{i=1}^{m}n_i+\lambda/2)} \qquad , \tag{8}$$

where, $\lambda = 0$ or 1. In the same manner, one can write down the solutions for the Mathieu's equation as well [21].

Using this method, one can, in principle write down the ground and first excited states of the one variable Schrödinger equation. We first illustrate the procedure for harmonic oscillator, ($\hbar = m = \omega = 1$),

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (2E_n - x^2)\right)\psi_n = 0 \qquad , \tag{9}$$

before proceeding to the other non-trivial examples. Multiplying by x^2, the above can be written as

$$\Big((D-1)D + x^2(2E_n - x^2)\Big)\psi_n = 0 \qquad . \tag{10}$$

For $n = 0$ and 1, $D(D-1)x^n = 0$. Using Eq. (2), the solution for $n = 0$ is,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_0 &= C_0 \left\{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{(D-1)D}(x^2(2E_0 - x^2))\right]^m\right\} x^0 \\ &= C_0\left(1 - \frac{[2E_0]}{2!}x^2 + \frac{(2! + [2E_0]^2)}{4!}x^4 - \frac{(4! + (2!)^2[2E_0] + (2!)[2E_0]^3)}{2!6!}x^6 + \cdots\right) .\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Note that, ψ_0 is an expansion in the powers of x, whose coefficients are polynomials in E_0. Only when $E_0 = 1/2$, the series can be written in the closed form $C_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$, which satisfies all properties required for a quantum mechanical ground-state. Similarly, the first excited state can also be found by applying $\hat{G}_1$ on x. To find the n-th excited state, one can differentiate the Schrödinger equation n times, multiply it by x^n and use $x^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} = \prod_{l=0}^{n-1}(D-l) = F(D)$. In the case of complicated potentials, this method can be potentially very useful if applied in conjunction with numerical algorithms. The exact ground-state wavefunction for the Schrödinger equation with anharmonic potential, $V(x) = x^2/2 + cx^4/2$, is,

$$\psi_0 = C_0 \left\{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^m \left[\frac{1}{(D-1)D}(x^2(2E_0 - x^2 - cx^4))\right]^m\right\} \quad .1 \qquad . \tag{12}$$

One can numerically tune E_0 to obtain an appropriate ψ_0.

In the following, we apply the above technique to the differential equations involving many variables. Consider, the A_{N-1} Calogero-Sutherland model, which was made equivalent to free harmonic oscillators [16],

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}x_i^2+\frac{1}{2}g^2\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i\neq j}}^{N}\frac{1}{(x_i-x_j)^2}-E_n\right)(\psi_0\phi_n)=0 \qquad . \tag{13}$$

This can be brought to the following form, after the removal of the ground-state wavefunction, $\psi_0=\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_i x_i^2\}\prod_{i<j}^{N}[|x_i-x_j|^{\alpha}$:

$$\left(\sum_i x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}+E_0-E_n-\hat{A}\right)\phi_n=0 \qquad , \tag{14}$$

where, $E_0=\frac{1}{2}N+\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)\alpha$ is the ground-state energy and $\hat{A}\equiv[\frac{1}{2}\sum_i\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}+\alpha\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{1}{(x_i-x_j)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}]$. Without loss of generality, we have quantized the above system as bosons. Rewriting Eq. (14) as,

$$\left(\sum_i x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}-n+n+E_0-E_n-\hat{A}\right)\phi_n=0 \qquad , \tag{15}$$

the solution can be written by using Eq. (2):

$$\phi_n=C_n\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^m\left[\frac{1}{(\sum_i x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}-n)}(n+E_0-E_n-\hat{A})\right]^m\right\}S_n(\{x_i\}) \quad ; \tag{16}$$

here, $(\sum_i x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}-n)S_n(\{x_i\})=0$ and $S_n(\{x_i\})$'s are any homogeneous function of degree n. In order to avoid the possibility of having singular solutions when the inverse of $(\sum_i x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}-n)$ acts on $S_n(\{x_i\})$, we choose $n+E_0-E_n=0$; this yields the familiar energy spectrum of the Calogero-Sutherland model. Further, one has to choose $S_n(\{x_i\})$ to be completely symmetric under the exchange of x_i's, such that, the action of $\hat{A}$ yields polynomial solutions which are normalizable with respect to ψ_0 as the weight function [16,22]. Similar to the case of the Hermite polynomial, one can easily prove that,

$$\phi_n=C_n e^{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{A}}S_n(\{x_i\}) \qquad . \tag{17}$$

In the following, we show that, the Sutherland model is equivalent to free particles on a circle. The Schrödinger equation is,

$$\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}+2\beta(\beta-1)\frac{\pi^2}{L^2}\sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{\sin^2[\pi(x_i-x_j)/L]}-E_\lambda\right)\psi_\lambda(\{x_i\})=0 \qquad . \tag{18}$$

Choosing, $z_j = e^{2\pi i x_j/L}$ and writing $\psi_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = \prod_i z_i^{-(N-1)\beta/2} \prod_{i<j}(z_i - z_j)^\beta J_\lambda(\{z_i\})$, the above equation becomes,

$$\left(\sum_i D_i^2 + \beta \sum_{i<j} \frac{z_i + z_j}{z_i - z_j}(D_i - D_j) + \tilde{E}_0 - \tilde{E}_\lambda\right) J_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = 0 \qquad , \tag{19}$$

where, $D_i \equiv z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$, $\tilde{E}_\lambda \equiv (\frac{L}{2\pi})^2 E_\lambda$, $\tilde{E}_0 \equiv (\frac{L}{2\pi})^2 E_0$ and $E_0 = \frac{1}{3}(\frac{\pi}{L})^2 \beta^2 N(N^2-1)$, is the ground-state energy. Here, $J_\lambda(\{z_i\})$ is known as the Jack polynomials [23–26]. $\sum_i D_i^2$ is a diagonal operator in the space spanned by the monomial symmetric functions, $m_{\{\lambda\}}$, with eigenvalues $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2$ [25]. Rewriting (19) in the form,

$$\left(\sum_i (D_i^2 - \lambda_i^2) + \beta \sum_{i<j} \frac{z_i + z_j}{z_i - z_j}(D_i - D_j) + \tilde{E}_0 + \sum_i \lambda_i^2 - \tilde{E}_\lambda\right) J_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = 0 \qquad , \tag{20}$$

one can immediately show that,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = C_\lambda \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left[\frac{1}{\sum_i (D_i^2 - \lambda_i^2)} (\beta \sum_{i<j} \frac{z_i + z_j}{z_i - z_j}(D_i - D_j) + \tilde{E}_0 + \sum_i \lambda_i^2 - \tilde{E}_\lambda) \right]^n \right\} \\ \times m_\lambda(\{z_i\}) \\ \equiv C_\lambda \hat{G}_\lambda m_\lambda(\{z_i\}) \qquad . \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

It is easy to check that, $\hat{G}_\lambda$ maps the Sutherland model to free particles on a circle, *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_0 \hat{G}_\lambda)^{-1} H_S (\psi_0 \hat{G}_\lambda) &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \left(\sum_i D_i^2 - \sum_i \lambda_i^2 + \tilde{E}_\lambda\right) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \sum_i \lambda_i^2 + E_\lambda \qquad , \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where, H_S is the Sutherland Hamiltonian and ψ_0 is its ground-state wavefunction. For the sake of convenience, we define

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{S} \equiv \left[\frac{1}{\sum_i (D_i^2 - \lambda_i^2)} \hat{Z}\right] \qquad , \\ \text{and} \qquad &\hat{Z} \equiv \beta \sum_{i<j} \frac{z_i + z_j}{z_i - z_j}(D_i - D_j) + \tilde{E}_0 + \sum_i \lambda_i^2 - \tilde{E}_\lambda \qquad . \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The action of $\hat{S}$ on $m_\lambda(\{z_i\})$ yields singularities, unless one chooses the coefficient of m_λ in $\hat{Z}\, m_\lambda(\{z_i\})$ to be zero; this condition yields the well-known eigenspectrum of the Sutherland model:

$$\tilde{E}_\lambda = \tilde{E}_0 + \sum_i (\lambda_i^2 + \beta[N + 1 - 2i]\lambda_i) \qquad .$$

Using the above, one can write down the Jack polynomials as,

$$J_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-\beta)^n \left[\frac{1}{\sum_i (D_i^2-\lambda_i^2)}(\sum_{i<j}\frac{z_i+z_j}{z_i-z_j}(D_i-D_j) - \sum_i (N+1-2i)\lambda_i)\right]^n \times m_\lambda(\{x_i\}) \quad . \tag{24}$$

For the sake of illustration, we give the following computation of J_2 for two-particle case. Now, $m_2 = z_1^2 + z_2^2$ and it is easy to check that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Z}m_2 &= \beta 4 z_1 z_2 = 4\beta m_{1^2} \\
\hat{S}m_2 &= \frac{1}{\sum_i (D_i^2-4)}(4\beta m_{1^2}) = -2\beta m_{1^2} \quad , \\
\hat{S}^n m_2 &= -2(\beta)^n m_{1^2} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1 \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above result in Eq. (21), apart from C_2,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= m_2 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n(-2)(\beta)^n\right) m_{1^2} \\
&= m_2 + 2\beta\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-\beta)^n\right) m_{1^2} \\
&= m_2 + \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta} m_{1^2} \quad ;
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

this is the desired result. Earlier, Lapointe *et al.* have obtained a Rodrigues-type formulae for the Jack polynomials [27]. Eq. (24) is a new formula for the Jack polynomials. In the following, we show that, the above method can be generalized to more general many-body systems.

Consider,

$$\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\sum_i D_i^n) + \hat{A}\right) P_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = B_\lambda(\{z_i\}) \quad , \tag{26}$$

where, a_n's are some parameters, D_i is same as the above, $\hat{A}$ is a function of z_i and $\partial/\partial z_i$ and $B_\lambda(\{z_i\})$ is a source term.

Case (i) When $B_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = 0$ and $\hat{A}m_\lambda = \epsilon_\lambda m_\lambda + \sum_{\mu<\lambda} C_{\mu\lambda} m_\mu$; where, m_λ's are the monomial symmetric functions [25] and ϵ_λ and $C_{\lambda\mu}$ are some constants, we get

$$P_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r \left[\frac{1}{((\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\sum_i D_i^n) - (\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\sum_i \lambda_i^n))}(\hat{A}-\epsilon_\lambda)\right]^r m_\lambda(\{z_i\}) \tag{27}$$

with, $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\sum_i \lambda_i^n) + \epsilon_\lambda = 0$.

Case (ii) When $B_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = \sum_{\mu\neq\lambda} K_\mu m_\mu$ and $\hat{A}m_\mu = \sum_{\mu\neq\lambda} C_{\mu\lambda} m_\mu$; where, K_μ and $C_{\lambda\mu}$ are some constants, then,

$$P_\lambda(\{z_i\}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^r \left[\frac{1}{((\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\sum_i D_i^n) - (\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\sum_i \lambda_i^n))}\hat{A}\right]^r \times \left[\frac{1}{((\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\sum_i D_i^n) - (\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\sum_i \lambda_i^n))}\right] B_\lambda(\{z_i\}) \ . \tag{28}$$

In conclusion, we have developed a general method to solve any linear differential equation of arbitrary order. The major advantage of this technique lies in the fact that, in order to obtain the solutions, one does not have to solve any recursion relations or integral equations. By applying this method, we obtained new formulae for many differential equations which are of interest to theoretical physicists. We also discussed how to obtain the ground and excited states for the Schrödinger equations with complicated potentials. In particular, we have written down the exact ground-state wavefunction for anharmonic potential, $V(x) = x^2/2 + cx^4/2$, as a function of energy parameter, which has to be tuned to obtain the normalizable solutions. This method treated both A_{N-1} Calogero-Sutherland and the Sutherland model with inverse sine-square interactions on the equal footing. The former one is equivalent to free harmonic oscillators and the later, to free particles on a circle. We also proposed a general scheme for generating more general symmetric functions. This scheme may find interesting applications in the context of obtaining the q-deformed versions of the known polynomials [21,25].

The authors acknowledge extremely useful discussions with Profs. V. Srinivasan and S. Chaturvedi. N.G thanks U.G.C (India) for the financial support.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Calogero, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 419 (1971).

[2] B. Sutherland, *J. Math. Phys.*, **12**, 246 (1971); **12**, 251 (1971).

[3] B. Sutherland, *Phys. Rev.* **A 4** 2019 (1971); **A 5**, 1372 (1972); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34**, 1083 (1975).

[4] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Phys. Rep.* **94**, 6 (1983).

[5] For various connections, see the chart in B.D. Simons, P.A. Lee and B.L. Altshuler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 64 (1994).

[6] S. Tewari, *Phys. Rev.* **B 46**, 7782 (1992); N.F. Johnson and M.C. Payne, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1513 (1993); **70**, 3523 (1993); M. Caselle, *ibid.* **74**, 2776 (1995).

[7] N. Kawakami, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 275 (1993); H. Azuma and S. Iso, *Phys. Lett.* **B 331**, 107 (1994); P.K. Panigrahi and M. Sivakumar, *Phys. Rev.* **B 52**, 13742 (15) (1995).

[8] H.H. Chen, Y.C. Lee and N.R. Pereira, *Phys. Fluids.* **22**, 187 (1979).

[9] K. Nakamura and M. Lakshamanan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 1661 (1986).

[10] See M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, Revised Edition (Academic Press N.Y, 1990).

[11] J.M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *Phys. Rev.* **B 37**, 9286 (1988); A.P. Polychronakos, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 324**, 597 (1989); M.V.N. Murthy and R. Shankar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 3331 (1994).

[12] I. Andric, A. Jevicki and H. Levine, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 312**, 307 (1983); A. Jevicki, *ibid*, **376**, 75 (1992); G.W. Gibbons and P.K. Townsend, hep-th/9812034.

[13] J.A. Minahan and A.P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Lett.* **B 312**, 155 (1993); **336**, 288 (1994); E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 513**, 405 (1998).

[14] A.P. Polychronakos, pre-print hep-th/9902157 and references therein.

[15] F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 937 (1991).

[16] N. Gurappa and P.K. Panigrahi, *Phys. Rev.* **B**, R2490 (1999).

[17] H. Ujino, A. Nishino and M. Wadati, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **67**, 2658 (1998).

[18] A. Nishino, H. Ujino and M. Wadati, *Phys.Lett.* **A 249**, 459 (1998).

[19] T. Brzezinski, C. Gonera and P. Maslanka, *Phys.Lett.* **A 254**, 185 (1999).

[20] N. Gurappa, A. Khare and P.K. Panigrahi, *Phys. Lett.* **A 224**, 467 (1998).

[21] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products* (Academic Press Inc., 1965).

[22] T.H. Baker and P.J. Forrester, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 492**, 682 (1997).

[23] H. Jack, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh* (A), **69**, 1 (1970); *ibid*, 347, (1972).

[24] R.P. Stanley, *Adv. Math.* **77**, 76 (1988).

[25] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, 2nd edition, Oxford: Clarendon press, 1995.

[26] S. Chaturvedi, *Special Functions and Differential Equations*, Eds. K.S. Rao, R. Jagannathan, G.V. Berghe and J.V. Jeugt, Allied publishers, New Delhi, 1997.

[27] L. Lapointe and L. Vinet, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **178**, 425 (1996).